

From Compulsion to Character: Parental Digital Discipleship through Sabbath Rhythms in a Smart Society

Samuel Soegiarto

Petra Christian University, Jl. Siwalankerto 121-131, Surabaya, Indonesia

e-mail : samuel.sugiarto@petra.ac.id

*Corresponding author

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords:
digital gaming,
parental discipleship,
character formation,
sabbath theology,
reformed theology.

ABSTRACT

This study explores how parents can disciple elementary-aged children to navigate the addictive mechanisms of digital gaming. It provides a theological framework transforming digital play from a "compulsion loop" into a space for character formation. Utilizing Richard Osmer's framework, this research conducts a four-fold task: descriptive-empirical (analyzing "pleasurable bondage"), interpretive (dissecting dysfunctional liturgies), normative (constructing Sabbath and *Imago Dei* theology), and pragmatic (outlining parental discipleship strategies). Compulsion loops exploit the "restless heart" through a "counterfeit grace". However, Sabbath rhythms foster essential virtues like self-control, presence, and gratitude. The Sabbath provides a *telos* reorienting desires toward God's finished work. This paper fills a gap in Christian elementary education by integrating behavioral psychology with Reformed theology. It offers a "third way" of discerning engagement for families in a smart society. Practical/Social Implications. The study proposes "counter-praxis" for the home: "Gaming Sabbath" rhythms, "closing liturgies," and dialogical reflection tools for parents to evaluate digital play's spiritual impact. This study is primarily theoretical. Future research should include empirical studies on the long-term impact of Sabbath practices on character development in elementary students.

INTRODUCTION

A common sight in modern homes and schools within our smart society is that of elementary-aged children with their heads bowed, their fingers moving across the screens of their mobile devices. While some might be browsing, a significant number are immersed in gaming. The growing number of young "gamers" is fueled by advancements in smartphone technology, delivering stunning graphics that capture a child's imagination. Within these virtual spaces, children build communities and engage with narratives that begin to shape their worldview at a foundational age.

However, this digital fascination increasingly leads children to become bound to it. This is not merely an addiction that stems from a personal failure of self-control. More insidiously, this addiction is often by design. The common term for this is a "compulsion loop," which refers to a core concept in game design where a repetitive cycle of actions and rewards is designed to keep the player engaged (Stahlke & Mirza-Babaei, 2022, pp. 24, 43). This loop can be defined as "a cyclical structure designed to form habits by instilling in the player an insatiable desire for the next reward, thereby encouraging continuous engagement" (Stahlke & Mirza-Babaei, 2022, pp. 24, 43). Thus, the combination of a user's lapse in self-control and the brilliant design by game developers leaves users ensnared in a form of bondage they come to enjoy. Timothy Keller (2009) refers to this as an idol, defined as anything more important to you than God, anything that absorbs your heart and imagination more than God, anything you seek to give you what only God can give (p. xvii).

This problem is exacerbated by a divide in how the church and families respond. Often, play is either dismissed as secular/insignificant, viewed with Puritan-style suspicion, or correlated only loosely with spirituality. None of these provide the robust theological foundation needed for parental digital discipleship.

Accordingly, this paper puts forward an approach to bridge culture and spirituality specifically for families. Utilizing Richard R. Osmer's (2008) four-fold framework, this study conducts a descriptive-empirical analysis of gaming habits, an interpretive dissection of compulsion loops, a normative theological construction based on the Sabbath and *Imago Dei*, and finally, a pragmatic outline for parental discipleship. The goal is for parents to guide children toward the inherently good activity of play while equipping them with the character to resist the snares of digital bondage.

The Descriptive-Empirical Task: A Child’s “Pleasurable Bondage”

Consider the story of “Leo,” a ten-year-old student who started playing a popular mobile game to connect with school friends after his lessons. Initially, his engagement was driven by curiosity and genuine enjoyment. Soon, however, the game introduced him to a series of small, rewarding tasks: daily login bonuses, simple quests that reset every 24 hours, and limited-time events that promised exclusive “skins” or items.

What began as an optional diversion after school slowly transformed into a rigid daily ritual. The thought of “breaking his streak” or “missing out” on a rare digital reward created a low-grade anxiety that compelled him to log in, even during family dinner or when he should have been resting. The primary motivation was no longer the joy of playing, but the obligation to not fall behind his peers. Leo was caught in an endless cycle of satisfying small tasks that kept him tethered to the screen—a perfect illustration of a pleasurable, yet demanding, bondage that shapes a child’s character before it is fully formed.

This state of “pleasurable bondage” reveals that the compulsion loop is not merely a neutral technical element but a powerful formative engine with profound spiritual implications. From a parental discipleship perspective, this is a form of behavioral engineering that raises serious questions regarding a child’s agency, the development of self-control, and their spiritual well-being in a smart society.

The Interpretive Task: The Anatomy of a Dysfunctional Liturgy

But what, precisely, makes this loop so powerful? And how does it function not just psychologically, but theologically? To dissect the formative power of this loop, we can analyze it into three synergistically working components, borrowing a framework from studies on habit formation while translating it into a theological-anthropological register. Duhigg (2012) identifies this habit loop as a three-step process consisting of the cue, the routine, and the reward (p. 19).

First is the Cue: The Igniting of Disordered Desire. Psychologically, a cue is the signal that initiates a habit cycle—it could be a notification on a phone screen, a daily login bonus, or simply a feeling of boredom that prompts a player to open the app. Theologically, these cues work by exploiting a fundamental human longing. Augustine, in his *Confessions*, classically diagnosed the human condition as a *cor inquietum*—a restless heart that will not find rest until it rests in God (trans. 1912, 1.1). The Latin text expresses this as “*inquietum est cor nostrum, donec requiescat in te.*” The cues in a compulsion loop offer a counterfeit satisfaction for this legitimate longing. They promise momentary relief from anxiety, a pseudo-meaning in virtual achievements, and an escape from existential emptiness, but ultimately, they only direct the restless heart back to the same cycle, not toward God.

Second is the Routine: A Liturgy of Repetition (The Grind). Once triggered, the child performs the routine: the core actions of the game, such as completing a quest, defeating an enemy, or opening a loot box. From a practical theology perspective, this routine can be understood as a form of “dysfunctional liturgy.” As James K. A. Smith (2009) has argued, the practices we repeat are not neutral acts; they are secular liturgies that profoundly shape what we love and desire (p. 25). Smith’s primary argument is that humans are *homo liturgicus*, beings whose desires are shaped by repeated practices. The repetitive activity in a game (the grind) becomes a liturgy that trains the player to value virtual accumulation and endless activity, which subtly erodes the capacity for true rest and authentic presence.

Third, and most crucial, is the Reward: A Counterfeit Grace. The addictive power of the loop lies not in predictable rewards, but in their uncertainty. This design leverages a psychological principle known as the “variable ratio reinforcement schedule,” pioneered by B. F. Skinner (1938). Intermittent rewards—like the small chance of getting a rare item from a loot box—are proven to be far more addictive than certain ones. This concept, a cornerstone of behaviorism, has been widely applied in the design of casinos and the monetization of video games through mechanisms like loot boxes (Skinner, 1938). Theologically, this variable reward functions as a “counterfeit grace.” True grace is a free and unmerited gift from God that fosters relationship and liberates. In contrast, the rewards from the loop are calculated “gifts” designed not for the child’s well-being but to ensure their continued engagement. More insidiously, this counterfeit grace conditions the child’s heart to equate “gift” with “performance.” It fosters a subconscious belief that they are only “accepted” or rewarded by the system when they consistently meet its demands—be it a daily login or a completed quest. This merit-based logic characteristically damages their understanding of genuine grace, potentially replacing the security of being loved unconditionally with the anxiety of a performance-driven identity. It does not create freedom, but a relationship of dependency on the system.

Understanding this mechanism forces us back to the story of Leo, no longer seeing him as a victim of poor self-control, but as a participant in a powerful liturgy. How, then, can parents offer more than simple prohibitions? What spiritual practice can possibly compete with a system so brilliantly designed to mimic and redirect our deepest longings? A mere prohibition will not suffice; a more compelling counter-praxis is required to transition the child from compulsion to character.

The Normative Task: Playing in the Creator's Rhythm

A Creation Foundation: "Imago Dei" & Common Grace

Can an activity so often dismissed as trivial escapism actually be a reflection of a child's deepest theological identity? This study argues that it can, by building a foundation for play upon two key doctrines. For parents disciplining children in a smart society, the *Imago Dei* frames play not as a deviation from a child's purpose, but as a holistic expression of it—an exercise of our God-given creativity, reason, and relationality. The doctrine of Common Grace, in turn, provides a lens to appreciate the beauty and ingenuity within the gaming world as gifts from God, even when their sources are secular. By first affirming that play is a good creation, we can then meaningfully explore how to engage with it as an arena for character formation.

Imago Dei and Play

To ground play in Christian spirituality, we must first explore the anthropological doctrine of the *Imago Dei*. While theological debate has often emphasized either the substantial (what we are), functional (what we do), or relational (who we are for) views, a robust parental discipleship demands their holistic synthesis.

The functional view understands the *Imago Dei* not as an internal quality but as a vocation. As Middleton (2005) formulates it, this view sees "the image of God as the royal function or office of human beings as God's representatives and agents in the world, who are given legitimate power to share in God's rule over the earth's resources and creatures" (p. 27). In this sense, humanity's royal office to act as God's representatives and agents in the world. This rule is not one of domination but of "sub-creation"—applying wisdom and creativity to bring forth order and flourishing. When an elementary-aged child engages in world-building or strategy games, they are participating in a microcosmic reflection of this creative mandate. Formatively, play provides players with "a kind of proxy agency as they engage, create, and affect the world in which it operates," which directly reflects the *Imago Dei* calling (Rhea & Auxier, 2020, p. 150). This function, however, is enabled by our God-given essence.

The substantial view identifies the *Imago Dei* with inherent capacities like "rational faculties (reasoning and thinking), moral consciousness (distinguishing right from wrong), the capacity for relationship with God, and stewardship over creation" (Lioy, 2024, p. 48). Historically, this view identifies the *Imago Dei* with certain inherent capacities in humans that mirror, albeit finitely, God's own attributes. Middleton (2005) notes that the vast majority of patristic, medieval, and modern interpreters understood the image of God as a "metaphysical analogy or likeness between the human soul and the being of God," with a particular emphasis on the "substantial rational soul" (pp. 18–19). Augustine famously proposed a trinitarian structure in the soul—memory, intellect, and will—as a reflection of the Triune God (as cited in Middleton, 2005, pp. 18–19). These faculties are the "tools" that make our functional calling possible. The very impulse to play—to engage in imaginative activities governed by rules—is rooted in the substance of our being as His image-bearers.

Furthermore, these capacities are expressed in a relational context. Vertically, a child's play can be an act of joyful worship, a grateful response to the Creator for the gift of life and creativity. This sense of divine giftedness then shapes our horizontal interactions. We were created for fellowship, and play is often a deeply relational activity. Whether through cooperative teamwork or respectful competition, it creates spaces where children practice communication and community—a finite reflection of the communal life of the Triune God Himself. It is here that parents can teach the truth that true freedom is being "free-for-the-other." Bonhoeffer (1964) radically suggested that we can only truly see the image of God in the other, in the "I-Thou" relationship, writing that "to be free means 'to be-free-for-the-other,' because I am bound to the other. Only in relationship with the other am I free" (p. 35).

Therefore, play finds its proper and noble place as an integrated expression of the *Imago Dei* and a vital arena for character formation. In a single activity, children can achieve this synthesis: they express

their substance by using reason to strategize and imagination to build worlds; they embody their relational nature by cooperating in teams and building communities; and finally, they fulfill their functional calling on a small scale by creating order and exercising creative “dominion” over a game world.

More profoundly, if God created the world not out of necessity but from the free overflow of His grace, creation itself can be seen as a grand act of divine “play.” Our impulse for non-utilitarian, joyful activity is thus a theological reflection of our Creator’s character. The term “non-utilitarian” refers to an activity that has no practical or instrumental purpose; it is performed for the joy and meaning inherent in the act itself rather than as a means to an external end (McInerny, 2016). McInerny distinguishes play from “work” by stating that play is “pointless” and “not measured by an external goal,” contrasting it with the tendency to reduce life to a mere tool for accomplishing tasks. To lose the ability to play is, in a sense, to lose a part of God’s image, making authentic play a potential act of worship—a participation in the divine joy over creation.

Common Grace and Play

The next foundation for play is the concept of common grace. If the *Imago Dei* explains the *origin* of play’s goodness, common grace explains how its integrity is *preserved* in a fallen world, allowing parents to find divine fingerprints even in secular cultural artifacts like video games within a smart society.

This framework is rooted in the thought of Reformers like John Calvin, who argued that God bestows “natural” gifts of truth and beauty upon all of humanity, believers and unbelievers alike. For Calvin (1559/2006), any excellence found in culture is a gift from the Holy Spirit that must not be despised. He wrote that whenever we encounter truth in secular writers, that “admirable light of truth shining in them” should teach us that the human mind, though fallen, remains “clothed and ornamented with God’s excellent gifts” (pp. 273–274). Calvin further argued that if we regard the Spirit of God as the sole fountain of truth, we must not reject or despise the truth wherever it appears (pp. 273–274). This principle applies directly to the digital worlds children inhabit. From the elegant systemic complexity of a strategy game to the breathtaking visual beauty of a fantasy world, we are invited to see these not as secular accidents, but as manifestations of God’s common grace.

Following Calvin, theologians like Herman Bavinck articulated common grace as God’s way of preserving creation and enabling cultural development. This grace means there is a “rich revelation of God even among the heathen... among their artists, their philosophers and reformers” (Bavinck, 1894/1989, p. 41). Therefore, parents can guide their children to play a game made by a non-Christian and legitimately celebrate its elements of beauty, creativity, and even moral truth as gifts originating from the Great Designer.

Applying the concept of common grace helps families navigate the dilemmas Christians face regarding culture, particularly culture produced by non-Christians. It saves us from the false dichotomy of total rejection (which fails to acknowledge God’s grace at work in the world) and uncritical acceptance (which is naive to the reality of sin). Common grace offers a third way: discerning engagement. This approach, which Turnau (2012) describes as “popologetics,” involves identifying what is good, true, and beautiful within popular culture as well as what is false and distorted, before connecting it to the Gospel (p. 215). This means a child, under parental mentorship, can use and even celebrate cultural artifacts created by non-Christians. In the context of gaming, a Christian can play a game made by a non-Christian and legitimately celebrate its elements of beauty, creativity, and even moral truth as gifts from God.

However, an appreciation for common grace must always be balanced with an awareness of the antithesis—the fundamental spiritual conflict between the Kingdom of God and the fallen world. This tension was central to the “Common Grace Controversy of 1924” in the Christian Reformed Church, led by Herman Hoeksema, which highlighted the theological risks of eroding the distinction between the church and the world (Mid-America Reformed Seminary, 2025). The family is called to practice discernment—the ability to distinguish between the work of God’s grace and the manifestation of sin in any cultural artifact. They can appreciate the craftsmanship involved without guilt, while remaining critical of the ways sin may manifest in the game—be it through gratuitous violence, the promotion of materialism, or narratives that embrace despair. Thus, the framework of common grace transforms the child from a passive consumer into an active and discerning theological participant, fostering their character through wisdom.

Sabbath as “Telos” and Rhythm

Having established play as a good gift, parents today face the challenge of guiding children to enjoy it rightly when it is often designed to ensnare them. The compulsion loop offers a powerful but dysfunctional

liturgy with its relentless rhythm and false telos of perpetual acquisition. A simple command to "play less" is insufficient because it fails to replace this with a better rhythm and a truer telos. This is precisely what a robust theology of the Sabbath provides for parental digital discipleship. More than a mere cessation of activity, the Sabbath is an invitation into a divine framework that reorients our play toward its proper ends: rest, delight, and worship. It achieves this by providing both an ultimate purpose (*telos*) and a liberating rhythm.

Sabbath as Telos: Play as an Eschatological Foretaste

First, the Sabbath provides a *telos* by framing play as a foretaste of the eternal rest and joy of the New Creation. The Bible's own vision of the restored world explicitly includes images of play, where "the city streets will be filled with boys and girls playing" (Zechariah 8:5) and "the infant will play near the cobra's den" (Isaiah 11:8). This eschatological vision represents a celebration of salvation and an anticipation of the New Creation (Dixon, 2023). This vision gives a child's play its ultimate purpose: we play now as an act of hope, practicing the qualities of eternal joy.

These qualities of eternal joy include Non-Utilitarian Joy, where activities are free from the curse of toil. Play, in its essence, is non-utilitarian—defined as an activity performed not for an external purpose or result, but for the joy inherent in the act itself (Rhea & Auxier, 2020, p. 149). When a player enjoys a well-designed mechanic, they are tasting freedom from the tyranny of productivity, enjoying being as a gift, not a task. The *second* quality is "Deep, Focused Presence." Eternal life is a state of being fully present to God, free from anxiety. The psychological state of "flow"—a state of peak concentration where awareness of irrelevant factors, such as the anxious ego and the passage of time, disappears (Csikszentmihalyi, 1990, pp. 49–66)—is a secular shadow of this. Flow represents a form of deep engagement rather than an escape from consciousness, and thus it can be theologically interpreted as a foretaste of undivided presence. In an age of digital fragmentation and "doomscrolling," this capacity for absorption becomes a vital exercise in the virtue of presence. It prepares the child's character to be fully present to God and to others, resisting the scattered attention that consumer liturgies often demand.

Lastly, there is Creative Ordering. The eschatological vision is one of flourishing human creativity. Games of strategy and world-building are small-scale training grounds for a child's calling as "sub-creators." This concept relates to the theological idea of *homo faber* (human as maker), where the creative and world-building aspects of video games demonstrate humanity's role in exercising creative muscles (Rhea & Auxier, 2020, p. 155). In doing so, the child is not just "playing a game," but practicing the stewardship and wisdom required to bring order out of chaos—a fundamental aspect of character in the Kingdom of God.

Sabbath as Rhythm: Play as Disciplined Resistance

Beyond a purpose, the Sabbath also provides a liberating *rhythm* that protects play from becoming bondage within a smart society. Brueggemann (2017) argues that in a contemporary context driven by the "rat race of anxiety," the celebration of Sabbath is an "act of both resistance and alternative" (p. xvi). It serves as a visible insistence that human life is not defined by the production and consumption of commodity goods (Brueggemann, 2017). This rhythm serves to both validate play by carving out a sacred space for non-productive joy, and discipline it by making that space bounded and purposeful, not limitless.

This directly counters the parental discipleship challenge of compulsive gaming. While game addiction is often characterized by a loss of control and the use of gaming as an escape from real-life responsibilities (American Addiction Centers, 2024; Whiting, 2025), Sabbath restoration is time-bound and restorative. By providing the theological grounding for a child to put down the controller, the Sabbath functions as a laboratory for self-control. This deliberate act of stopping—not because the battery died, but because the rhythm of God invites us to rest—reasserts the child's agency over the machine, transforming a moment of potential withdrawal into a formative exercise of the will.

The Pragmatic Task: Outlining Parental Discipleship Strategies

The challenge posed by the compulsion loop cannot be met by individuals alone. It demands a communal response from the church and especially the family as the body of Christ. To effectively disciple a digital generation of elementary-aged children, the church must equip parents to move beyond mere

criticism and intentionally position the home as a counter-formative community. Utilizing a theology of the Sabbath, parents can implement a robust **counter-praxis** through three strategic dimensions:

Discipleship as Counter-Liturgy: Framing a True Telos

Drawing from the work of Smith (2009), the compulsion loop can be understood as a powerful “cultural liturgy”—a repetitive practice that shapes a child’s desires toward endless pursuit. Smith argues that common cultural practices, such as shopping at a mall, function as liturgies that shape our ultimate loves; this concept extends to the repetitive and habit-forming practices found in digital gaming (p. 25). Christian discipleship, therefore, must offer a more compelling counter-liturgy. While the loop’s only goal is its own continuation, the Sabbath orients the family toward the celebration of God’s finished work and a foretaste (*arrabon*) of our eschatological rest. This eschatological vision of rest and joy is a central theme in biblical theology, as seen in the promise of a remaining “Sabbath rest” for the people of God (Hebrews 4:9–11). This vision is seen in prophecies like Zechariah’s, where the restored Jerusalem is filled with “boys and girls playing” (Zech. 8:5)—an image of communal joy that has reached its goal, not one of compulsive, endless activity.

The counter-praxis here is for parents to frame the decision to stop playing as an act of eschatological hope. Instead of stopping from fatigue, a child can intentionally end a session with a “closing liturgy”: a brief moment of thanking God for the gift of play. This intentional act of gratitude serves as a spiritual antidote to the loop’s “insatiable desire,” teaching the child to see play as a finished gift to be enjoyed with a full heart. This is reinforced by Sunday worship—the culmination of the Sabbath rhythm—which stands as a direct antithesis to the endless, unfinished work demanded by the digital grind, helping children see how participating in this celebration of completion counters the liturgy of perpetual pursuit. As Thoennes (2014) suggests, these moments of “holy play” serve as emancipation that reminds the faithful of the ultimate liberation coming when God makes all things new (Revelation 21:5). This act reorients the child’s desires toward their true and final *telos*, resisting the “culture of now” that the loop embodies.

Cultivating Technological Wisdom: Establishing Sacred Rhythms

True technological wisdom in a smart society is not about a list of rules, but about the adoption of a holy rhythm. The Sabbath introduces a sacred rhythm to break the loop’s frantic, 24/7 colonization of time in our smart society. It resists this by creating what Heschel (1951) called “a palace in time”—a period intentionally set apart from time measured by production and consumption. Heschel argues that while many religions hallow space, Judaism focuses on hallowing time, with the Sabbath serving as its pinnacle architecture (p. 12). The church is called to be a space where families are equipped to put technology in its proper place, moving beyond a simple list of “dos and don’ts” and into the formation of character and prudence.

A concrete counter-praxis for parental discipleship is the “gaming Sabbath,” where defined periods are set aside to unplug from the game’s rhythm and plug into God’s. This can take the form of a rhythm like “an hour a day, a day a week, and a week a year.” Crouch (2017) recommends this specific rhythm of turning off devices as a practical way to resist the dominance of technology in family life (p. 98). Daily moments, such as deep talk during dinner or before bed, become the best opportunities for parents to honestly discuss digital struggles and build mutual accountability for practicing this tech Sabbath, restoring the child as a sovereign subject over their time. Furthermore, planning screen-time replacement activities—such as pursuing new hobbies, spending time outdoors, or playing board games—is crucial for a successful “digital fast” (Life.Church, 2025).

Dialogical Discipleship: From Virtual Grind to Embodied Fellowship

Finally, the Sabbath calls children from the virtual and often instrumental communities of the “grind” into embodied fellowship. The biblical tradition of the Sabbath is never individualistic; it is a communal celebration centered on a shared feast and corporate worship. Therefore, discipleship must be dialogical and relational. Instead of only condemning, parents need to enter the world of their children with a posture of learning.

This dialogical approach is sharpened when parents use the Sabbath framework as a diagnostic tool for character formation. Instead of only asking, “What do you like about the game?” a parent can ask,

“Which parts of this game give you a sense of true rest and delight?” and “Which parts make you feel like you are on an endless grind?” This method connects themes of toil, rest, and redemption within the game to the greater story of the Gospel. Brueggemann emphasizes that Sabbath cannot be practiced by an isolated individual; it requires the discipline and support of a like-minded community to buoy the practitioner up (as cited in Pattison, 2025). This support from the family transforms the child from an isolated consumer into a participant in a larger, redemptive fellowship, thereby building their character through the practice of presence. In doing so, the family not only resists the compulsion loop but redeems the act of play itself, transforming it into an arena for spiritual growth and mission.

CONCLUSIONS

The study began by identifying a significant pastoral challenge in our smart society: the profound and formative power of gaming in the lives of elementary-aged children, alongside the family’s often inadequate response. The core of this challenge was diagnosed not as a simple failure of self-control, but as an encounter with a “dysfunctional liturgy”—the brilliantly designed compulsion loop, which functions as a “pleasurable bondage” by shaping a child’s desires toward endless, aimless acquisition. This analysis revealed that a mere prohibition against gaming is an insufficient response to a system that so expertly mimics and re-directs humanity’s deepest spiritual longings.

In response, the discussion moved from critique to construction. Before a solution could be proposed, a positive theological foundation for play was established through the doctrines of the *Imago Dei* and Common Grace. These doctrines affirm that play is not a sinful deviation but an inherently good and meaningful human activity, a reflection of created purpose and a manifestation of God’s sustaining grace in culture. With this foundation in place, the paper proposed its central thesis: that a robust theology of the Sabbath offers the most compelling framework to redeem this good gift from its potential corruption. The argument established that the Sabbath provides both a liberating rhythm of disciplined resistance and a true *telos* (purpose) that reorients play toward an eschatological hope. Finally, this theological framework was translated into a practical vision for parental digital discipleship, equipping families to act as a counter-formative community through the practices of worship as a counter-liturgy, the cultivation of technological wisdom, and a dialogical, Sabbath-informed engagement with children.

The central argument is that a theology of the Sabbath provides a relevant, robust, and redemptive response to the pastoral challenges of modern gaming culture. It moves parents beyond a simplistic binary of rejection or uncritical acceptance, offering a “third way” of discerning and purposeful engagement. The Sabbath does not destroy play but liberates it, giving it a holy purpose and a healthy rhythm. By grounding play in the joy of God’s finished work, it provides a powerful spiritual antidote to the anxiety of the endless grind, transforming digital play into a space for character formation.

Ultimately, this approach is about more than just “fixing” a gaming problem; it is about a richer vision of spiritual formation in a digital age. It offers a more beautiful and compelling picture of the good life than the one proposed by the liturgies of consumerism. By embracing this framework, parents can disciple a new generation not by pulling them out of their world, but by equipping them with the theological tools to navigate it wisely, transforming them from passive consumers into wise theological participants who can find echoes of God’s grace and rhythm even in the most unexpected digital spaces.

The conversation initiated in this paper is far from exhaustive, and several avenues for further inquiry remain open. Future research, for example, could explore how this Sabbath framework of *telos* and rhythm applies to other powerful digital habits, such as social media engagement or binge-watching streaming services. On a more practical level, the principles outlined here could be developed into concrete parental discipleship resources, like a small group curriculum for youth or a guide for parents. Furthermore, an empirical study could assess the real-world impact of intentionally practicing a “gaming Sabbath” on the character development of elementary-aged children. Finally, the theological inquiry itself could be enriched by bringing other doctrines—such as a theology of the body or a deeper exploration of *koinonia*—into dialogue with gaming culture.

REFERENCES

- American Addiction Centers. (2024, August 21). *Video game addiction: Symptoms, causes, and treatment*. <https://americanaddictioncenters.org/video-gaming-addiction>
- Augustine. (1912). Confessions (W. Watts, Trans.). *William Heinemann*. (Original work published ca. 397).

- Bavinck, H. (1989). Common grace (R. C. Van Leeuwen, Trans.). *Calvin Theological Journal*, 24, 38–65. (Original work published 1894).
- Bonhoeffer, D. (1964). *Creation and fall: A theological interpretation of Genesis 1–3* (J. C. Fletcher, Trans.). SCM Press. (Original work published 1933).
- Brueggemann, W. (2017). *Sabbath as resistance: Saying no to the culture of now* (New ed.). Westminster John Knox Press.
- Calvin, J. (2006). *Institutes of the Christian religion* (J. T. McNeill, Ed.; F. L. Battles, Trans.; Vol. 1). Westminster John Knox Press. (Original work published 1559).
- Crouch, A. (2017). *The tech-wise family: Everyday steps for putting technology in its proper place*. Baker Books.
- Csikszentmihalyi, M. (1990). *Flow: The psychology of optimal experience*. Harper & Row.
- Dixon, D. (2023, August 1). Video game theology. *Think Biblically*. <https://www.biola.edu/blogs/think-biblically/2023/video-game-theology>
- Duhigg, C. (2012). *The power of habit: Why we do what we do in life and business*. Random House.
- Heschel, A. J. (1951). *The Sabbath: Its meaning for modern man*. Farrar, Straus and Giroux.
- Keller, T. (2009). *Counterfeit gods: The empty promises of money, sex, and power, and the only hope that matters*. Dutton.
- Life.Church. (2025). *Faith-filled strategies to fight screen time overuse*. <https://finds.life.church/christian-technology-screen-time-guide/main/0/>
- Lioy, D. (2024). The Imago Dei: Biblical foundations, theological implications, and enduring significance. *Verba Vitae*, 1(3–4), 43–61.
- McInerny, B. (2016, October 28). A theology of play. *Bearings Online*. <https://collegevilleinstitute.org/bearings/a-theology-of-play/>
- Mid-America Reformed Seminary. (2025). *The common grace controversy of 1924: Theological tension and the birth of a denomination*. <https://www.midamerica.edu/articles/48/the-common-grace-controversy-of-1924-theological-tension-and-the-birth-of-a-denomination>
- Middleton, J. R. (2005). *The liberating image: The imago Dei in Genesis 1*. Brazos Press.
- Osmer, R. R. (2008). *Practical theology: An introduction*. Eerdmans.
- Pattison, J. (2025). Sabbath as resistance: An interview with Walter Brueggemann. *Slow Church*. <https://slowchurch.com/sabbath-resistance-interview-walter-brueggemann/>
- Rhea, R., & Auxier, J. (2020). Gaming the system: Christian leisure, the imago Dei, and the formational influence of video games. *Christian Education Journal: Research on Educational Ministry*, 17(1), 146–162.
- Skinner, B. F. (1938). *The behavior of organisms: An experimental analysis*. Appleton-Century-Crofts.
- Smith, J. K. A. (2009). *Desiring the kingdom: Worship, worldview, and cultural formation*. Baker Academic.
- Stahlke, S., & Mirza-Babaei, P. (2022). *The game designer's playbook: An introduction to game interaction design*. Oxford University Press.
- Thoennes, E. (2014, March 28). *Holy play*. Desiring God. <https://www.desiringgod.org/articles/holy-play>
- Turnau, T. (2012). *Popologetics: Popular culture in Christian perspective*. P&R Publishing.
- Whiting, J. (2025, May 22). When the game plays you: How online gaming becomes a compulsion. *Psychology Today*. <https://www.psychologytoday.com/us/blog/love-lies-and-conflict/202505/when-the-game-plays-you-how-online-gaming-becomes-a-compulsion>